



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/921,038	08/02/2001	Patrick Klersy	2024.25	9321
24963 75	590 04/21/2004		EXAMINER	
ENERGY CONVERSION DEVICES, INC.			CAO, PHAT X	
2956 WATERY ROCHESTER	VIEW DRIVE HILLS, MI 48309		EXAMINER CAO, PHAT X	PAPER NUMBER
110 01120 1211			2814	
			DATE MAILED: 04/21/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/921,038	KLERSY ET AL.	KLERSY ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Phat X. Cao	2814	pw	
The MAILING DATE of this communicatio Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence addre	ess	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON.  FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re on. , a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become ABA	aply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this comm ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.	
Status				
<ul> <li>1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on</li> <li>2a) ⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b) □</li> <li>3) □ Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice un</li> </ul>	This action is non-final.  Ilowance except for formal matte		nerits is	
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 21-27 and 60 is/are pending in the day Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 21-27 and 60 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	thdrawn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exact 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the county The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to be to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand correction is required if the drawing(	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B  * See the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in Ape priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National St	age	
Attachmont/c)	•			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)		
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/6/04.</li> </ul>	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date  formal Patent Application (PTO-1)	52)	

Application/Control Number: 09/921,038 Page 2

Art Unit: 2814

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The cancellation of claims 1-20 and 28-59 in Paper filed 1/6/04 is acknowledged.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claim 60 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

New claim 60 is unclear. It is unclear because it depends on canceled claim 2-8. For the examination purpose, it is assumed to depend on claim 21.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 21-24 and 26-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wolstenholme et al (US. 5,998,244) in view of Batra et al (US. 6,242,781).

Wolstenholme (Fig. 6) discloses an electrically programmable memory element, comprising: a first dielectric layer 15; a first conductive layer 22 formed over the first dielectric layer 15; a second dielectric layer 45 formed over the first conductive layer 22, the second dielectric layer 45 having a pore 50 therein, the pore having a minimum lateral dimension of about 1000 angstroms (column 4, lines 17-18); a programmable resistance material 55 comprising a phase change material of chalcogen

Application/Control Number: 09/921,038

Art Unit: 2814

element disposed within the opening; and a second conductive layer (60,65) formed over the programmable resistance material. Wolstenholme's Fig. 6 further discloses a third conductive layer 20 (or a sidewall layer) electrically coupled between the first conductive layer 22 and a substrate 5, wherein substantially all electrical communication between the second conductive layer (60,65) and the first conductive layer 22 is through an top edge portion of the third conductive layer 20.

Wolstenholme does not disclose a spacer disposed at a peripheral portion of the pore.

However, Batra's Fig. 7 teaches a contact opening having a spacer 68 formed at a peripheral portion of the pore. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to modify Wolstenholme's Fig. 6 by forming the spacer with the structure as set forth above in order to provide an ultra-small pore having a width which is less than the minimum available photolithographic feature size, as taught by Batra (column 6, lines 27-31).

5. Claims 25 and 60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wolstenholme et al and Batra et al as applied to claim 21 above, and further in view of Tanahashi (US. 6,064,084).

Wolstenholme does not disclose the third conductive layer 20 being a conductive sidewall liner.

However, Tanahashi (Fig. 3C) teaches the forming of a contact structure having a conductive layer 55 electrically coupled to a substrate 51 through a conductive sidewall liner 53 formed in a dielectric layer 52. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to form the third conductive layer of Wolstenholme as a conductive sidewall

Application/Control Number: 09/921,038

Art Unit: 2814

liner because as taught by Tanahashi, such conductive sidewall liner would reduce the contact resistance (column 10, lines 5-9).

## Response to Arguments

6. Applicant argues that Wolstenholme and Batra are not analogous arts because Batra teaches an electrical contact having resistor formed in an opening and Wolstenholme teaches an electrical contact having memory material of a programmable resistance memory element formed in an opening.

This argument is not persuasive. One of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized the analogous art nature of the references because they both pertain to an interconnection having a conductive material formed in a contact hole. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to combine the references as suggested because Batra clearly suggests the motivation to combine (see ground of rejection for details).

#### Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 09/921,038

Art Unit: 2814

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier com

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Phat X. Cao whose telephone number is (571) 272-

1703. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Wael Fahmy can be reached on (571) 272-1705. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PC

April 16, 2004

PHAT X. CAO

Page 5

PRIMARY EXAMINED